

## Interpretable groups are definable

Janak Ramakrishnan

(Joint work with Pantelis Eleftheriou and Kobi Peterzil)

CMAF, University of Lisbon

<http://janak.org/talks/interpretable-groups.pdf>

## Definitions

- An *o-minimal* structure  $M$  is a linearly ordered structure in which every first-order definable subset of  $M$  is a finite union of points and intervals. The reals as an ordered field and the rationals as an ordered group are both examples.
- We will only consider densely ordered o-minimal structures.
- A structure  $(G, \dots)$  is *interpretable* in  $M$  if there is a definable set  $X \subseteq M^k$  and definable equivalence relation  $E$  such that  $G$  is isomorphic to  $X/E$  and all the structure on  $G$  is definable on  $X/E$  in  $M$ . The structure  $M^{\text{eq}}$  contains all interpretable sets in  $M$ .
- Many o-minimal structures have *elimination of imaginaries*: every interpretable set is definably isomorphic to a definable one. In fact, they often have definable choice.
- This follows from cell decomposition in the presence of a group structure. Each equivalence class can be taken to be a union of cells, and the structure can uniformly pick a unique element in each cell.

## Local Properties

- The assumption of group structure is not so strange, because by the Trichotomy Theorem, every point in an o-minimal structure is either “trivial,” lies in a definable local group, or lies in a definable real closed field.
- “Trivial” means that there are no definable monotonic binary functions in a neighborhood. A “local group” can be thought of as the restriction of a topological group to a neighborhood around 0, so addition is not always defined, if it would go outside the neighborhood.
- However, it is certainly possible that a structure can have a definable local group around every point, and yet not have a definable global group, or even admit the structure of a global group.

## Groups

- Besides global group structure and local groups, o-minimal structures can also have general definable or interpretable groups.
- These groups live in some cartesian power of the structure, and need not, a priori, have anything to do with any underlying group in the structure.
- Examples include the circle group  $S_1$  and general linear group  $GL_n(R)$  on the definable side, and  $PGL_n(R)$  on the interpretable side.
- There has been much work about definable groups, most prominently in the proof of Pillay’s Conjecture, that every definable group, after a quotient by the connected component  $G^{00}$  is isomorphic to a Lie group of the appropriate dimension.
- However, little was known about interpretable groups.

## Result

### Theorem

Let  $G$  be an interpretable group in a dense o-minimal structure. Then  $G$  is definably isomorphic to a definable group that is a subset of a cartesian product of one-dimensional definable groups.

- Note: the definable isomorphism may require more parameters than those used to define  $G$ .
- When  $M$  expands a group, the theorem is trivial. Thus, the principle of the proof is to use the existence of the group  $G$  to accomplish what the group on  $M$  would normally do.
- When  $M$  does not expand a group, the conclusion was unknown even for definable groups.

## Strategy: Getting One-Dimensional Sets

- When  $G$  is definably compact, we use a strategy similar to Edmundo's in the case of solvable groups to obtain strong definable choice for  $M^{\text{eq}}$ -definable subsets of  $G$ .
- Strong definable choice means that for any definable family  $\{X_t \subseteq G : t \in T\}$  with  $T \subseteq M^{\text{eq}}$ , there is a definable function  $f : T \rightarrow G$  such that  $f(t) \in X_t$  and  $f(t) = f(s)$  if  $X_t = X_s$ .
- A general result: for interpretable  $X/E$ , we can take  $X \subseteq I_1 \times \cdots \times I_k$ , with each interval  $I_j$  the image of  $X/E$  under a definable map  $f_j$ .
- Applying this result to definably compact  $G$  and using strong definable choice on the sets given by the preimages of the  $f_j$ 's, we have one-dimensional subsets of  $G$ .

## Strategy: Topology

Our strategy requires a number of approaches on different aspects of o-minimality.

- As with definable groups, we endow  $G$  with a group topology with a definable basis. In this process, we essentially turn  $G$  into a manifold. While the manifold does not have a finite atlas, it does yield a finite number of "large" sets, through which we can deduce many of the usual properties of definable groups.
- Using standard topological group decompositions, we can separate into the definably simple and definably compact cases for  $G$ .
- When  $G$  is definably simple (non-abelian with no definable nontrivial normal subgroup) and definably connected, we repeat the proof of Peterzil-Pillay-Starchenko using our group topology and manifold structure.
- The techniques of [PPS] embed  $G$  into  $GL(n, R)$  for some definable real closed field  $R$ . Since  $GL(n, R)$  is a definable group, this finishes the theorem for definably simple groups.

## Strategy: Turning One-Dimensional Sets Into Groups

- We prove a general result that any one-dimensional equivalence relation can be eliminated – that is, if  $\dim(X/E) = 1$ , then  $X/E$  is in definable bijection with a one-dimensional definable set.
- Thus, any one-dimensional subset of  $G$  is in definable bijection with a one-dimensional subset of  $M$ .
- We want these one-dimensional subsets to be embedded in definable groups, so we can definably choose representatives of each equivalence class in  $G$ .
- We then prove that if  $f : I \times J \rightarrow M$  is a definable function, monotonic in both coordinates, then either  $I$  or  $J$  can be definably embedded in a definable one-dimensional group.
- Applying to the group operation on  $I_j$  yields the desired result.

## What to Expect

In this talk, I will:

- show where the topology comes from;
- give the proof that one-dimensional quotients can be eliminated;
- give some idea why if  $f : I \times J \rightarrow M$  is a definable function monotonic in both coordinates, then either  $I$  or  $J$  can be definably embedded in a definable one-dimensional group.

We do not have a finite atlas (yet) on  $G$  with this topology. However, what we have is not too bad:

### Proposition

*There are finitely many  $t$ -open definable sets  $W_1, \dots, W_k$  whose union covers  $G$ . Each  $W_i$  is the (non-injective!) image of  $\mathcal{U}_0$ , where  $\mathcal{U}_0$  is a finite disjoint union of definable open subsets of various  $M^i$ 's.*

This implies that every definable subset of  $G$  has finitely many definably connected components, and thus that many properties of definable groups in o-minimal structures still hold.

In particular, this is enough for the definably simple non-abelian case, with [PPS]'s arguments.

## Topology

- We can modify our underlying set  $X$  and equivalence relation  $E$  so that after a partition, all equivalence classes have the same homeomorphism type, and the base set  $U$  is open in its ambient space.
- We suppose that each equivalence class is open in the first  $d$  coordinates. Then for each  $x \in \pi_{\leq d}(U) \subseteq M^n$ , the fiber of  $U$  above  $x$  has a single representative in each  $E$ -class.
- For  $u \in U$ , let  $U(u)$  be the fiber of  $U$  above  $\pi_{\leq d}(u)$ .
- Let  $u = \langle x', x'' \rangle$  be a generic element of  $U$ , and let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a definable basis of neighborhoods of  $x''$ , all contained in  $U(u)$ . Then the family  $\mathcal{B} = \{g\mathcal{V} : g \in G\}$  is a basis for a topology ( $t$ -topology) making  $G$  into a topological group.
- The  $t$ -topology makes  $G$  into a topological group because it comes from the usual order topology, so there is a canonical homeomorphism between a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x''$  in  $U(u)$  and a  $t$ -neighborhood of  $u$ .

## One-dimensional interpretable sets

- The proof for definably compact groups goes by first showing that definably compact groups have strong definable choice.
- This then allows us to definably pick one-dimensional *interpretable* sets in the group  $G$ , into whose cartesian product we can suppose that  $G$  is embedded.
- Thus, if we can show that these one-dimensional interpretable sets are actually definable and embeddable in one-dimensional groups, we will be done.

### Theorem

*Let  $T \subset M^{\text{eq}}$  have dimension 1. Then there exists a definable injective map  $f : T \rightarrow M^m$  for some  $m$ .*

We consider  $\{X_t : t \in T\}$  a definable family, with  $T \subset M^{\text{eq}}$  and  $\dim T = 1$ , and show that the desired map exists for this  $T$ , by induction on the ambient space of the  $X_t$ 's. Then we are done by considering  $\{[t] : t \in T\}$ .

- We perform o-minimal tricks to make all the  $X_t$ 's cells in  $M^k$  of the same dimension  $r$ . We go by induction on  $(k, r)$ .
- If  $r = k$ , then each  $X_t$  is uniquely determined by its “boundary cells,” and we are done by induction. So we can take  $r = k - 1$ .
- There are two kinds of points in the  $X_t$ 's – those that belong to only finitely many  $X_t$ , and the others. We partition each  $X_t$  into these two sets,  $X_t^0$  and  $X_t'$ .
- The union of all  $X_t'$  has dimension less than  $k$ , by straightforward dimension arguments, so it is done by induction.

- Further partitioning  $X_t^0$ , we can suppose that it is the graph of a function  $f_t$  on a cell  $C_t$ , with distinct  $X_t^0$ 's disjoint.
- By induction, we have the desired function for the family  $\{C_t : t \in T'\}$ , where  $T'$  is  $T$  modulo the equivalence relation  $C_s = C_t$ . So we need to separate out  $X_t$ 's projecting to the same  $C_t$ .
- For each  $C_t$ , if only finitely many  $X_t^0$  project onto  $C_t$ , then we can take care of them.
- If infinitely many  $X_t^0$  project onto  $C_t$ , then since  $\dim T = 1$ , there are only finitely many such  $C_t$ . For each one, we can fix  $\bar{a} \in C_t$ , and define  $g(t) = f_t(\bar{a})$ .
- (This step fails in higher dimension, since we would have to pick infinitely many such points.)

## Group-intervals

- We have now reduced the problem of definably compact  $G$  to showing that one-dimensional definable subsets of  $G$  embed in definable groups.
- Every point of such a set is non-trivial (has a definable local group) around it. But we need a local group that contains the whole set, up to a finite partition.

### Definition

Let  $I$  be a *gp-short interval* if after a finite partition, it can be definably endowed with the structure of a group chunk, with 0 either an endpoint of  $I$  or in  $I$ .

### Lemma

Let  $\{I_t : t \in T\}$  be a definable family of gp-short intervals, all with the same left endpoint. Then  $\bigcup_t I_t$  is a gp-short interval.

No demands are made on how the group chunks on  $I, I_t$  are defined.

### Proof:

- Let  $(a, b) = \bigcup_t I_t$ . We replace  $M$  by  $(a, b)$  with all the induced structure on  $(a, b)$ .
- If we can find  $c \in (a, b)$  such that  $(c, b)$  is a group interval, then we will be done, since some  $I_t$  contains  $(a, c)$ .
- If there is  $c \in (a, b)$  with a definable injection from  $(c, b)$  to  $(d, e)$  for some  $a < d < e < b$ , again we are done.
- Thus, we may assume that there are no such maps for any  $c$ , and thus that our structure has no “poles,” treating  $b$  like  $\infty$ .
- We pick a nonstandard  $c < b$  in an elementary extension  $N$  of  $M$ . The interval  $(a, c)$  is gp-short, so there is a group operation  $+_G$  on it.

## Everything Interesting is gp-short

- The fact that there are no poles means that the left convex hull of  $M$  in  $N$ ,  $M' = \{x \in N : \exists d \in M(x < d)\}$ , is an elementary substructure of  $N$ .
- The type of any element of  $N$  over  $M'$  is definable, since any element of  $N \setminus M'$  is infinitely large.
- Then by the Marker-Steinhorn theorem, the type of any tuple of elements of  $N$  over  $M'$  is definable.
- Thus, the trace of any  $N$ -definable set in  $M'$  is  $M'$ -definable. It is straightforward that the trace of  $+_G$  on  $M'$  gives a local group on all of  $M'$ , so  $M'$  itself defines a total local group.
- Since this property is first-order,  $M = (a, b)$  also defines a total local group.

### Theorem

Let  $I, J$  be intervals, and  $f : I \times J \rightarrow M$  a definable function strictly monotone in both variables. Then at least one of  $I$  or  $J$  is gp-short.

Some steps on the way to the proof:

- If  $f : I_1 \times \dots \times I_k \rightarrow J$  is definable with  $J$  gp-short and all  $I_i$  gp-long, then  $f$  is constant at every generic point.
- If  $f : I_1 \times I_2 \times J \rightarrow M$  is definable with  $J$  gp-short but  $I_1, I_2$  gp-long, then for generic  $a \in I_1 \times I_2$ , the function  $f(a, -)$  is determined (up to finite) by  $f(a, d)$  for any generic  $d$ .
- If  $f : I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3 \rightarrow M$  is definable with  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  gp-long, then we can partition  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  so that the functions  $f(a, -)$  and  $f(b, -)$  on  $I_3$  are identical if they ever have the same value.
- So families of functions parameterized by gp-long intervals are one-dimensional, i.e., locally modular.

## Applying the Theorem

- The standard machinery of local modularity gives a group operation around  $x_0 \in I$  by
 
$$x_1 + x_2 = x_3 \iff f_{x_2}^{-1} f_{x_3} = f_{x_0}^{-1} f_{x_1}.$$
- This operation is valid whenever the intervals  $(x_1, x_0)$  and  $(x_2, x_0)$  are gp-short.
- But being gp-short is not a definable property, so the operation “spills over” onto a longer interval, which is necessarily gp-long, contradiction.

- By an argument, if  $h : I_1 \times \dots \times I_{k+1} \rightarrow M^k$  is a definable map injective in each coordinate separately, then at least one of  $I_1, \dots, I_{k+1}$  is gp-short.
- Let  $I$  be a one-dimensional set definable in  $G$ . Let  $f_i : I^i \rightarrow G$  be defined by  $f_i(x_1, \dots, x_i) = x_1 \cdots x_i$ .
- Take  $k \geq 1$  maximal such that  $f_k$  is injective on  $B$ , some cartesian product of gp-long intervals in  $I^k$ .
- We find a generic  $k + 1$ -tuple  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_{k+1} \rangle \in I^{k+1}$  and a box  $B'$  around it such that  $f_{k+1}(B')$  is contained in  $f_k(B) \cdot a_{k+1}$ .
- This is enough, because then we are mapping a  $k + 1$ -dimensional set injectively in each coordinate into a  $k$ -dimensional set.